Quadriciser®

Unlocking Neuroplasticity Potential: Exploring the Influence of Continuous Passive Motion

A White Paper Analysis

Prepared: October 23rd, 2023

Executive Summary

This comprehensive white paper examines into the profound connection between Continuous Passive Motion (CPM) and its ability to amplify neuroplasticity—a central theme in the field of neurological rehabilitation [2]. CPM, when integrated with cutting-edge robotic-assisted devices, plays a pivotal role in promoting neuroplasticity by facilitating continuous passive motion, revitalizing dormant neural connections, enhancing blood flow, and elevating cognitive and motor functions [1].

The paper explores CPM's application in stroke rehabilitation, traumatic brain injury recovery, and cerebral palsy treatment, highlighting its contributions in restoring nerve connections and improving overall function [3]. In stroke rehabilitation, technology-driven home-based approaches open new doors for recovery [4]. For traumatic brain injury patients, robotic devices overcome traditional limitations while enhancing cognitive and motor functions [5]. In patients with cerebral palsy, robotic neurorehabilitation devices promise improved motor skills and quality of life [7].

This paper underscores CPM's transformative potential and its role in enhancing neuroplasticity [2]. As the field of neurorehabilitation evolves, CPM and robotic-assisted devices offer renewed hope and opportunities for individuals living with neurological disorders, heralding a path toward life-changing advancements [8].

Introduction

The human brain possesses a remarkable ability to adapt and reorganize itself in response to neurological damage or degenerative conditions (2). This capacity for change, known as neuroplasticity, is central to the brain's resilience and its role in memory formation and learning. Initially believed to be active during early childhood development, it is now recognized that neuroplasticity is an ongoing process that endures throughout one's lifetime. This discovery has revolutionized our approach to treating brain damage and related conditions. Among various rehabilitation techniques, Continuous Passive Motion (CPM) has emerged as a promising approach to enhance motor function and promote neuroplasticity in individuals with various neurological conditions.

In recent research, scientists have uncovered the innate ability of the nervous system to repair itself following damage (2). Regardless of the cause, be it a stroke, cerebral palsy, traumatic brain injury, or other neurodegenerative diseases, the brain's recovery hinges on its adaptability and ability to reorganize. This remarkable process, known as neuroplasticity, allows us to respond to external stimuli, adapt to various experiences, form memories, and acquire new skills. While it was originally believed that the brain was most flexible during early childhood, it is now evident that neuroplasticity persists throughout life. This insight has transformed our understanding of brain damage and its potential treatments. Among the diverse rehabilitation methods studied, the application of Continuous Passive Motion (CPM) emerges as a robust contender for enhancing motor function (2). The integration of cutting-edge robotic-assisted therapy devices has shown promise in promoting neuroplasticity and improving overall motor function across various neurological conditions.

Stroke Rehabilitation

When considering the influence of Continuous Passive Motion (CPM) on neuroplasticity, its relevance in specific motor impairment conditions, particularly stroke, becomes evident. The high incidence of strokes in developed countries (statistics indicating that one in four men and one in five women over the age of 85 may suffer from this), underscores the importance of research and technological advancements in stroke rehabilitation (3).

Stroke, whether ischemic (caused by a blood supply blockage) or hemorrhagic (resulting from a blood vessel rupture), can lead to damage in the motor cortex, resulting in motor difficulties such as spasms, paralysis, weakness, hemiparesis, and muscle and joint abnormalities. Unfortunately, only 33% of patients with upper extremity motor difficulties achieve a full recovery. Therefore, extensive research efforts are aimed at reversing brain damage and preventing long-term motor cortex impairment (3).

Neuroimaging studies have provided compelling evidence of the brain's flexibility, leading to the hypothesis that various active and passive motions can help restore nerve connections and establish new ones (1). The primary goal of continuous passive motion treatment following a stroke is to enhance patients' quality of life and long-term well-being by improving blood flow to the brain and mitigating secondary brain damage.

A significant study published in the Journal of Caring Sciences in March 2019, titled "The Effect of Early Passive Range of Motion Exercise on Motor Function of People with Stroke," investigated the impact of passive motion exercises on brain function (3). The data analysis from the study revealed that the experimental group exhibited improved muscle strength in the upper and lower extremities at one and three months compared to pre-intervention measurements, with the most significant improvement occurring at the one-month mark.

These findings suggest that stroke motor recovery benefits from the continued implementation of passive motion exercises, among other factors (3).

Additionally, a recent article published in the Journal of Clinical Medicine on April 3rd, 2023, titled "High Tech Home-based Rehabilitation after Stroke," sheds light on technology-driven home-based rehabilitation's potential (5). This systematic review and meta-analysis focused on robotic, virtual reality, and game devices' impact on patients' physical function after a stroke. The findings indicate that in-home rehabilitation technology is highly effective in enhancing physical function, especially when the rehabilitation plan is tailored for the individual patient by their care team (5).

Looking further into the role of continuous passive motion and its implications for stroke rehabilitation, a feasibility study published in the journal of Neuroengineering and Rehabilitation explored a robotic home rehabilitation device known as the Computer Assisted Arm Rehabilitation (hCAAR) (6). This innovative device involves patients using their weakened arms to manipulate a joystick handle, performing tasks displayed on a computer screen. Depending on the severity of motor impairment, the device can provide assistance to help patients complete these motions effectively (6). The research aimed to understand how home-based rehabilitation can enhance accessibility and intensify post-stroke treatment.

These studies collectively highlight the transformative potential of technology-assisted home-based rehabilitation for stroke recovery (5). They demonstrate that continuous passive motion, when administered through technologically advanced devices, has a significant impact on improving motor function and ultimately enhancing patients' quality of life. With the integration of tailored in-home rehabilitation plans and the utilization of innovative devices, the path to recovery becomes more personalized and accessible, offering renewed hope and opportunities for stroke survivors. This evolving landscape of stroke rehabilitation, driven by cutting-edge technology, opens new doors to improving the lives of those affected by this devastating condition.

Traumatic Brain Injury

To gain a deeper understanding of the impact of continuous passive motion on neuroplasticity, another area of focus is patients who have experienced traumatic brain injuries (TBI). TBI’s affect 64-74 million people each year (7). They result from a powerful external force applied to the brain, leading to non-progressive damage that can profoundly impairs motor, speech, physical, and mental function.

A systematic review published in the Brain Sciences Journal in 2022 emphasizes the role of rehabilitation in the recovery process (7). While traditional rehabilitation methods, often involving physical therapists, offer substantial benefits, they come with limitations that may impede the recovery of patients, especially those with more severe traumatic brain injuries.

One key limitation is the difficulty in increasing the intensity and dosage of rehabilitation with traditional methods (7). This challenge has led to a critical need for innovative solutions that can effectively address these limitations. Robotic-assisted devices have emerged as a promising approach to overcoming these obstacles (7). These advanced devices play a pivotal role in enhancing the repetition and intensity of passive motion exercises, providing patients with more effective rehabilitation options.

In addition, these robotic-assisted devices improve the reproducibility of kinematic movements (7). This not only ensures that patients receive consistent and tailored care but also creates a more controlled environment for neurorehabilitation, vital for promoting neuroplasticity.

Furthermore, research has shown that innovation in neurorehabilitation tools is essential for enhancing critical processes such as neurogenesis and angiogenesis, both integral components of neuroplasticity (7). These devices enable patients to engage in continuous passive motion exercises, which, as mentioned, play a vital role in reestablishing neural connections and promoting the brain's adaptability.

A recent article published in the Journal of Neurologic Physical Therapy, reinforces the potential of robotic-assisted therapy (4). This practice guideline highlights a substantial body of evidence supporting the effectiveness of these devices in improving walking function through task-specific locomotor practice. This evidence pertains not only to patients with spinal cord injuries but also extends to those with traumatic brain injuries and strokes (4).

While further research is recommended to explore potential confounding variables and optimize these technologies' application, the overall evidence is clear: novel neurorehabilitation devices have the potential to significantly enhance cognitive function and subsequent motor function in patients who have experienced traumatic brain injuries (7).

These innovations represent a promising path forward in the realm of traumatic brain injury rehabilitation, offering hope and opportunities for those on the road to recovery.

Cerebral Palsy

Relative to rehabilitation in cases of cerebral palsy (CP), there is a relationship between continuous passive motion and its impact on neuroplasticity in CP patients. CP is a prevalent cause of mental and physical disabilities in children, affecting approximately 2-3 in every 1000 births (8). It results from a lesion in the developing brain that impedes the development of movement and posture, ultimately limiting physical activity. CP patients frequently experience motor control issues, abnormal posture, lack of coordination, muscle reflex problems, and delayed motor skill development.

As observed in patients with traumatic brain injuries or strokes, assisted rehabilitation devices and therapeutic robots have shown potential in enhancing outcomes and recovery in individuals with CP (8). A study in the journal of Neuroengineering and Rehabilitation shows that robotic neurorehabilitation offers more than conventional methods. It provides accessibility, applicability to various motor impairment conditions, repetitive and high-intensity practice, and reliability (9).

For instance, a robotic therapy device, originally tested on stroke patients, demonstrated promise and led researchers to implement it for CP (8). In an experiment with 12 children aged 5 to 12 with cerebral palsy, therapy with this device was conducted twice a week for eight weeks. Following the intervention, participants exhibited significant improvement in their QUEST and Fugl-Meyer assessment scores, quantifying their upper extremity motor skills (8).

Similarly, the New Jersey Institute of Technology developed a robot-assisted virtual rehabilitation system for upper limbs, aiming to mimic various daily tasks with similar weight and force. A study with nine CP patients who used the device nine times, each session lasting 60 minutes, demonstrated improved scores in Melbourne Assessment measurements following the intervention, indicating improved motor function (8).

While many robotic neurorehabilitation devices may initially target specific conditions, it is evident that these devices are applicable to numerous conditions involving neurological impairment (8). The underlying premise is that continuous passive motion, facilitated by robotic-assisted devices, is a powerful tool for enhancing neuroplasticity and, consequently, improving motor and cognitive function, ultimately enhancing the quality of life.

Conclusion

The examination of Continuous Passive Motion (CPM) and its role in neurological rehabilitation has revealed the significatnt potential of this innovative approach. By focusing on the promotion of neuroplasticity and the enhancement of motor function, recent research highlights a transformative path towards improving the lives of individuals with neurological conditions.

The fundamental concept of neuroplasticity, which was once thought to be limited to early childhood, has been proven as a lifelong and dynamic process (2). This groundbreaking revelation has revolutionized the way we perceive and address neurological damage and its treatment. Among the various rehabilitation methods studied, CPM has emerged as a valuable option in enhancing motor function (2). The integration of cutting-edge robotic-assisted devices has played a pivotal role in promoting neuroplasticity and enhancing overall motor function in a range of patient conditions.

In the contexts of Stroke, TBI and CP rehabilitation, the evidence demonstrates the significant contributions of CPM in terms of restoring nerve connections, enhancing blood flow, and ultimately improving cognitive and motor functions. The study from the Journal of Caring Sciences reinforces the importance of early passive motion exercises in stroke recovery and highlights their role in preventing complications and enhancing motor function (3).

When considering the impact of CPM on neuroplasticity in TBI cases, the research findings suggest that robotic-assisted devices have the potential to overcome the limitations of traditional rehabilitation methods (7). These devices not only provide the necessary intensity and repetition of passive motion exercises but also offer improved reproducibility of kinematics. The endorsement from the Journal of Neurologic Physical Therapy supports the notion that robotic-assisted therapy can significantly enhance walking function in patients with spinal cord injuries, traumatic brain injuries, and strokes (4).

In the case of cerebral palsy, the use of robotic neurorehabilitation devices and other upper limb systems has demonstrated the potential to improve motor skills and overall quality of life for affected children (8). The adaptability of these devices across various neurological impairments underscores the idea that continuous passive motion, facilitated by robotic technology, can significantly enhance neuroplasticity and contribute to the improvement of motor and cognitive functions.

In conclusion, the research presented in this paper paints a compelling picture of how Continuous Passive Motion, when administered through robotic-assisted devices, provides an opportunity for individuals living with neurological disorders.

Moving forward, the transformative potential of CPM in promoting neuroplasticity and enhancing motor function is a promising avenue that deserves further attention and research. The journey of discovery in the area of neurorehabilitation continues to offer hope, opportunities, and the potential for life-changing advancements.

Sources Cited

1. Onishi H. Cortical excitability following passive movement. Phys Ther Res. 2018 Nov 30;21(2):23-32. doi: 10.1298/ptr.R0001. PMID: 30697506; PMCID: PMC6336439.
2. Kumar J, Patel T, Sugandh F, Dev J, Kumar U, Adeeb M, Kachhadia MP, Puri P, Prachi F, Zaman MU, Kumar S, Varrassi G, Syed ARS. Innovative Approaches and Therapies to Enhance Neuroplasticity and Promote Recovery in Patients With Neurological Disorders: A Narrative Review. Cureus. 2023 Jul 15;15(7):e41914. doi: 10.7759/cureus.41914. PMID: 37588309; PMCID: PMC10425702.
3. Hosseini ZS, Peyrovi H, Gohari M. The Effect of Early Passive Range of Motion Exercise on Motor Function of People with Stroke: a Randomized Controlled Trial. J Caring Sci. 2019 Mar 1;8(1):39-44. doi: 10.15171/jcs.2019.006. PMID: 30915312; PMCID: PMC6428159.
4. Hornby TG, Reisman DS, Ward IG, Scheets PL, Miller A, Haddad D, Fox EJ, Fritz NE, Hawkins K, Henderson CE, Hendron KL, Holleran CL, Lynskey JE, Walter A; and the Locomotor CPG Appraisal Team. Clinical Practice Guideline to Improve Locomotor Function Following Chronic Stroke, Incomplete Spinal Cord Injury, and Brain Injury. J Neurol Phys Ther. 2020 Jan;44(1):49-100. doi: 10.1097/NPT.0000000000000303. PMID: 31834165.
5. Chen Y, Abel KT, Janecek JT, Chen Y, Zheng K, Cramer SC. Home-based technologies for stroke rehabilitation: A systematic review. Int J Med Inform. 2019 Mar;123:11-22. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2018.12.001. Epub 2018 Dec 11. PMID: 30654899; PMCID: PMC6814146.
6. Sivan M, Gallagher J, Makower S, Keeling D, Bhakta B, O'Connor RJ, Levesley M. Home-based Computer Assisted Arm Rehabilitation (hCAAR) robotic device for upper limb exercise after stroke: results of a feasibility study in home setting. J Neuroeng Rehabil. 2014 Dec 12;11:163. doi: 10.1186/1743-0003-11-163. PMID: 25495889; PMCID: PMC4280043.
7. Bonanno M, De Luca R, De Nunzio AM, Quartarone A, Calabrò RS. Innovative Technologies in the Neurorehabilitation of Traumatic Brain Injury: A Systematic Review. Brain Sci. 2022 Dec 7;12(12):1678. doi: 10.3390/brainsci12121678. PMID: 36552138; PMCID: PMC9775990.
8. Sung-U S, Nisa BU, Yotsumoto K, Tanemura R. Effectiveness of robotic-assisted therapy for upper extremity function in children and adolescents with cerebral palsy: a systematic review protocol. BMJ Open. 2021 May 11;11(5):e045051. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-045051. PMID: 33980527; PMCID: PMC8118031.

9. Huang VS, Krakauer JW. Robotic neurorehabilitation: a computational motor learning perspective. J Neuroeng Rehabil. 2009 Feb 25;6:5. doi: 10.1186/1743-0003-6-5. PMID: 19243614; PMCID: PMC2653497.